

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 BERTHA KELLY and
11 ANNETTE MCKEE,

12 Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-04-1873 WBS DAD PS

13 vs.

14 RICHARD C. MITCHELL,

15 Defendant. /

ORDER

16 Plaintiffs, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was
17 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

18 On September 30, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
19 herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any
20 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days.
21 Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
23 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
24 entire file, the court finds the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations to be supported
25 by the record and by proper analysis.

26 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed September 30, 2011 (Doc. No. 49), are adopted in full; and
2. Plaintiff's January 19, 2011 motion to reopen this case (Doc. No. 47) is denied.

DATED: October 28, 2011



WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

/kelly1873.jo